SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF CLIMATE INFORMATION SERVICES FOR DISASTER RISK REDUCTION

BUILDING BACK BETTER: PLANNING WORKSHOP FOR CLIMATE RESILIENT INVESTMENT IN RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT IN CYCLONE AFFECTED REGIONS OF MALAWI, MOZAMBIQUE AND ZIMBABWE

RAINBOW TOWERS
HARARE,
Zimbabwe,
23 -25 October 2019

Bradwell J Garanganga,
Consultant
Email addresses: bjgaranganga@gmail.com ;
bjgaranganga@yahoo.co.uk
Mobile numbers+263-772-220330/-719-220330 and also Whats App accessible
Credits: G Pallaske

FORMAT OF PRESENTATION

BACKGROUND

DRR

- GLOBAL ECONOMIC COST OF NATURAL DISASTERS
- HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL HAZARDS
- RECENT SADC CASE: IDAI; KEN; DESM; DROUGHT

CIS

- *UNECA WISER INITIATIVES*
- *SADC CIS PRIORITY*
- *OTHER INITIATIVES*

BRIEF OVERVIEW OF METHODOLOGY FOR SEBS ON CIS

- RATIONALE OF SEBS
- SYSTEM DYNAMICS MODELLING
  - *DATA*
  - *ASSUMPTIONS*
  - *LIMITATIONS*

RESULTS

SUMMARY

WAY FORWARD

GLOBAL ECONOMIC COST OF DISASTERS

THE REPORTED GLOBAL COST OF NATURAL DISASTERS HAS RISEN SIGNIFICANTLY, WITH A 15-FOLD INCREASE BETWEEN THE 1950S AND 1990S.

DURING THE 1990S, MAJOR NATURAL CATASTROPHES ARE REPORTED TO
HAVE RESULTED IN ECONOMIC LOSSES AVERAGING AN ESTIMATED **US$66BN PER ANNUM** (IN 2002 PRICES).

IT IS ALSO ESTIMATED THAT IN DEVELOPING NATIONS LOSSES ARE 5-14 % OF GDP, ABRAMOVITZ, (2001)

1998-2017 EM-DAT REPORT

1998-2017 DISASTER-HIT COUNTRIES ALSO REPORTED DIRECT ECONOMIC LOSSES VALUED AT US$ 2,908 BILLION.

- CLIMATE-RELATED DISASTERS CAUSED **US$ 2,245 BILLION** OR 77% OF THE TOTAL
- UP FROM 68% (US$ 895 BILLION) OF LOSSES (US$ 1,313 BILLION) REPORTED BETWEEN 1978 AND 1997.
- REPORTED LOSSES FROM EXTREME WEATHER AND CLIMATE EVENTS ROSE BY 251% BETWEEN THESE TWO 20-YEAR PERIODS.

N.B. AFRICA ONLY REPORTS 14 % OF THESE DISASTER STATISTICS

Distribution of Disasters Caused by Natural Hazards and their Impacts in Africa (1980-2007), WMO

Number of disaster events - 1980-2007 (RA I)



97% of events
99% of casualties
61% of economic losses

are related to hydro-meteorological hazards and conditions.

Casualties - 1980-2007 (RA I)



Economic losses - 1980-2007 (RA I)



DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO (A) DEATHS AND (B) ECONOMIC LOSSES (1970-2012).

(A)	DISASTER TYPE	YEAR	COUNTRY	NUMBER OF DEATHS
1	DROUGHT	1983	ETHIOPIA	300000
2	DROUGHT	1984	SUDAN	150000
3	DROUGHT	1975	ETHIOPIA	100000
4	DROUGHT	1983	MOZAMBIQUE	100000
5	DROUGHT	1975	SOMALIA	19000
6	FLOOD	1997	SOMALIA	2311
7	FLOOD	2001	ALGERIA	921
8	FLOOD	2000	MOZAMBIQUE	800
9	FLOOD	1995	MOROCCO	730
10	FLOOD	1994	EGYPT	600

Source-wmo 2014

DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO (A) DEATHS AND (B) ECONOMIC LOSSES (1970-2012 CONT

(B)	DISASTER TYPE	YEAR	COUNTRY	ECONOMIC LOSS IN USD BILLIONS
1	DROUGHT	1991	SOUTH AFRICA	1.69
2	FLOOD	1987	SOUTH AFRICA	1.55
3	FLOOD	2010	MADEIRA	1.42
4	STORM (EMILLE)		MADAGASCAR	1.33
5	DROUGHT	2000	MOROCCO	1.20
6	DROUGHT	1977	SENEGAL	1.14
7	STORM (GERVAISE)	1975	MAURITIUS	0.85
8	FLOOD	2011	ALGERIA	0.79
9	STORM	1990	SOUTH AFRICA	0.69
10	STORM (BENEDICTE)	1981	MADAGASCAR	0.63

TROPICAL CYCLONE IDAI



IMPACTS OF IDAI IN: MALAWI, MOZAMBIQUE & ZIMBABWE

- FATALITIES > 1000
- 2-3 BN $US IN ECONOMIC COSTS
- SOCIAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL TRAUMA; IDPS

THE IMPACT OF TROPICAL CYCLONE IDAI

TROPICAL CYCLONE IDAI IS YET ANOTHER REMINDER ON:

- NEED FOR MORE AND URGENT INVESTMENT IN CIS FOR ECOSYSTEM-BASED DISASTER RISK REDUCTION AND CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION;
- SOUND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, CLIMATE VARIABILITY AND CHANGE IMPACTS AND DISASTER RESPONSES ARE CLOSELY INTERLINKED;
- NEED FOR A MORE SYSTEMATIC AND COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT.

INVESTMENT IN CIS WILL REDUCE THE HUMAN AND FINANCIAL TOLL OF NATURAL DISASTERS; AND HELP ACCELERATE SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BY TAKING ADVANTAGE OF FAVOURABLE CLIMATE CONDITIONS.

ELEMENTS OF RISK



ISDR

CONTEXT FOR WORK ON SEBS ON CIS

THERE HAVE BEEN MANY INITIATIVES THAT NEED EVIDENCE BASE OF VALUE FOR MONEY TO BE BETTER ADDRESSED OR WELL IMPLEMENTED. THESE INCLUDE, AMONG OTHERS:

- ACPC/UNECA WISER PROGRAMME (WEATHER AND CLIMATE INFORMATION SERVICES FOR AFRICA)
- AMCOMET (INTEGRATED AFRICAN STRATEGY ON METEOROLOGY (WEATHER SERVICES)
- SENDAI FRAMEWORK CALLS FOR REDUCTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS (2015)
- SDGS CALL ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE ISSUES TO SUSTAINABLE GROWTH
- SADC HEAD OF STATE & GVT CALLED FOR CSC TO ASSIST GOVERNMENTS WITH SEBS OF CIS TOOL (2017)

SO CALLS FOR WORK ON SEBS OF CIS ARE QUITE PERTINENT

What needs to happen

- THE NEGATIVE IMPACTS OF HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL HAZARDS ON AGRICULTURE AND FOOD SECURITY, WATER RESOURCES OFTENTIMES LEAD TO DISASTERS. OVER 90% OF NATURAL DISASTERS IN AFRICA ARE A CONSECUTIVE CONSEQUENCE OF THESE HAZARDS.

- CLIMATE INFORMATION SERVICE (CIS) IS AN IMPORTANT COMPONENT OF THE EVIDENCE BASE REQUIRED TO GUIDE DECISIONS REGARDING APPROPRIATE LEVELS OF INVESTMENT TO MINIMIZE NEGATIVE POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON THE ECONOMY, ENSURING UNINTERRUPTED DELIVERY OF CRITICAL SERVICES AND INFRASTRUCTURE.

- INVESTING IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF EARLY WARNING SYSTEMS (CIS) AND CONTINGENCY PLANNING, IMPACTED SECTORS (SUCH AS AGRICULTURE) IS NECESSARY TO HELP PROTECT SOCIO-ECONOMIC WELFARE.

SEB planning processes



GFDRR (2015). Valuing Weather and Climate: Economic Assessment of Meteorological and Hydrological Services

- Policy makers need estimates on the likely impacts of policies and investments.
- This includes as assessment of the potential dissemination of -and access to- the information generated.
- If the benefits, for any given economic actor or economy-wide outweigh the cost, the investment is justified.

Rationale for SEB Analysis



SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFITS

THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF CLIMATE INFORMATION SYSTEMS ARE MANY AND VARIED, EXAMPLES OF WHICH INCLUDE:

- DIRECT (E.G. WEATHER INFORMATION, RAINY DAYS);
- INDIRECT (E.G. HIGHER AGRIC PRODUCTN- CROP YIELDS);
- INDUCED (E.G. HIGHER TAX REVENUES DUE TO ECONOMIC GROWTH).
- AFFECT HOUSEHOLDS (E.G. AVOIDED DAMAGE TO PRIVATE PROPERTY);
- IMPACT ON BUSINESSES (E.G. AVOIDED SUPPLY CHAIN DISRUPTION); AND
- GOVERNMENT (E.G. REDUCED INFRASTRUCTURE

SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFITS: APPROACH

Investments −

Avoided Costs

- ✓ *Environmental*
  - *Remediation costs*
- ✓ *Social*
  - *Life and infrastructure losses*
- ✓ *Economic*
  - *Reduced water consumption (and cost)*

+ Added Benefits

- ✓ *Environmental*
  - *Ecosystem Services*
- ✓ *Social*
  - *Employment*
- ✓ *Economic*
  - *Income and GDP growth*

SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFITS: APPROACH (2)

INVESTMENTS REPRESENT THE COST OF INTERVENTION, ACROSS VARIOUS ECONOMIC ACTORS.

- INCLUDE CAPITAL COSTS, WHICH CAN BE SHARED ACROSS ECONOMIC ACTORS THROUGH THE USE OF INCENTIVES (PROVIDED BY THE GOVERNMENT) AND CO-FINANCING (PROVIDED BY THE PRIVATE SECTOR AND HOUSEHOLDS).
- THE ESTIMATION SHOULD ALSO INCLUDE OPERATION AND MANAGEMENT COSTS (I.E. RUNNING COSTS) AS WELL AS THE COST OF FINANCING.
- INVESTMENTS ARE EXPRESSED IN MONETARY TERMS.

METHODOLOGIES AND MODELS

- TRADITIONAL ASSESSMENTS INCLUDE:
  - **REGRESSION ANALYSIS**: ASSESSES THE SENSITIVITY OF CERTAIN SECTORS/ACTIVITIES TO CLIMATIC CHANGES.
  - **COST LOSS MODELS**: COMPARE THE COST OF PROTECTION TO A PROBABLE CLIMATE-RELATED LOSS. THIS APPROACH CAN INCLUDE SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL DIMENSIONS (CONTINUOUSLY FORECASTING SYSTEM).
  - **END-TO-END FORECASTING**: LINKS A BIOPHYSICAL MODEL (E.G. CROP YIELD) TO AN ECONOMIC MODEL (E.G. PROFIT MAXIMIZING) TO IDENTIFY OPTIMAL ADAPTATION STRATEGIES.
  - **SYSTEM DYNAMICS**: FOCUSES ON CAUSALITY, MERGES SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS TO GENERATE "WHAT IF" SCENARIOS FOR POLICY ANALYSIS. IT IS A "KNOWLEDGE INTEGRATOR".

DATA SOURCES

NATIONAL GVTS, UNITED NATIONS AGENCIES.

FOR INSTANCE, UNISDR, THE UNITED NATIONS OFFICE FOR DISASTER RISK REDUCTION IS PROMOTING A GLOBAL INITIATIVE TO BUILD

- NATIONAL DISASTER DATABASES WITH A WELL DEFINED METHODOLOGY.
- UNISDR USES FOR THIS PURPOSE THE DESINVENTAR FREE, OPEN SOURCE METHODOLOGY AND SOFTWARE.
- IT PERMITS THE HOMOGENEOUS CAPTURE, ANALYSIS

AND GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION OF INFORMATION ON DISASTER OCCURRENCE AND LOSS.

- IT HAS BEEN UNDER CONTINUOUS DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVEMENT.

ASSESSMENT SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFIT IN MODELS

HOW SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFITS CAN BE QUANTIFIED

- QUANTIFYING SEB OF CIS ON DRR AND ASSOCIATED SECTORS LOOKS AT THE ACTION AND OUTCOMES FROM THE USE OF ENHANCED WEATHER AND CLIMATE SERVICES,
- COMPARES THIS TO A BASELINE WITHOUT THIS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION;
- **THE DIFFERENCE IS THE QUANTIFIED BENEFIT**. THIS IS OFTEN KNOWN AS THE VALUE OF THE INFORMATION.

THE TYPES OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFITS

- A WIDE RANGE OF BENEFITS MAY ARISE FROM WEATHER AND CLIMATE SERVICES. THESE INCLUDE :
  - AREAS OF OBVIOUS FINANCIAL BENEFIT,
  - AREAS WITH BENEFITS WHICH ARE MORE DIFFICULT TO VALUE IN MONETARY TERMS.,
  - DIRECT LOSSES CAN USUALLY BE QUANTIFIED AND THEN VALUED USING MARKET PRICES,
  - INTANGIBLES INVOLVE NON-MARKET EFFECTS, WHICH USE ECONOMIC METHODS TO DERIVE ECONOMIC VALUES.

CONCEPTUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE SYSTEM DYNAMICS MODEL



STRUCTURE IN USE TO REPRESENT CIS COVERAGE IN THE CIS MODEL



Figure 12.5: Structure in use to represent CIS coverage in the CIS SEB model

REAL GDP IN BAU CLIMATE SCENARIO 1980 TO 2015



Table 11.9: Real GDP in BAU and Climate scenario 1980 to 2015

Total Affected Population



Figure 12. 16: Total affected population in the BAU and Climate scenario 1980 to 2015

CLIMATE IMPACTS ON POPULATION



Figure 12.6: Climate impacts on population

FOUR SCENARIOS FOR THE QUANTITATIVE ASSESSMENT OF THE SEBS OF CIS

1) **THE NO CLIMATE SCENARIO**

…ASSUMES NO CLIMATE IMPACTS AND NO INVESTMENTS, AND HENCE REPRESENTS THE CURRENT STATE OF MACROECONOMIC PLANNING MODELS.

2) **THE REFERENCE (OR BASELINE SCENARIO**)

…ASSUMES 0% COVERAGE THROUGHOUT THE SIMULATION, WHICH IMPLIES NO ANTICIPATION OF CLIMATE EVENTS AND HENCE 100% OF DAMAGES.

3) **THE BUSINESS AS USUAL (BAU) SCENARIO**

…ASSUMES 30% COVERAGE THROUGHOUT THE SIMULATION, WHICH TRANSLATES INTO AN INTERVENTION EFFECTIVENESS OF 12%. THIS MEANS THAT ONLY 88% OF THE DAMAGES ARE INCURRED.

4) THE CIS INVESTMENT SCENARIO

…ASSUMES AN INCREASE IN CIS COVERAGE FROM 30% TO 95% BETWEEN 2020 AND 2030, AND A FURTHER INCREASE FROM 95% TO 100% COVERAGE BETWEEN 2030 AND 2040. THIS TRANSLATES INTO AN INTERVENTION EFFECTIVENESS OF 68% AND 74.5% BY 2030 AND 2040 RESPECTIVELY, WHICH IMPLIES THAT **74.5% OF DAMAGES CAN BE AVOIDED** BY 2040.

AFFECTED AGRICULTURE LAND AND CUMULATIVE AGRICULTURE LAND



Figure12.13: Affected agriculture land and Cumulative agriculture land affected in all scenarios 1980 to 2050

TOTAL AFFECTED POPULATION AND CUMULATIVE POPULATION





Figure 12.16: Total affected population and Cumulative population affected in all scenarios 1980 to 2050

CUMULATIVE VALUE OF CLIMATE IMPACTS IN AGRICULTURE





Figure12. 17: Cumulative value of climate impacts in the agriculture sector 2020 to 2050

CIS COVERAGE AND DRR INTERVENTION



Figure 12.10: CIS coverage and DRR intervention effectiveness all scenarios

COST OF HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL HAZARDS

Sector	Costs of adverse weather by scenario and sector				
	Reference (million USD)	BAU (million USD)	% of Reference	CIS investment (million USD)	% of Reference
Roads	465.6	410.3	-11.88%	166.1	-64.33%
Health Care	94.8	83.4	-11.98%	31.7	-66.58%
Total agriculture	54.8	49.8	-9.05%	22.3	-59.21%
Livestock	5.3	4.7	-11.45%	2.2	-58.91%
Agriculture production	49.5	45.2	-8.79%	20.2	-59.25%
Capital	8'545.3	7'615.8	-10.88%	2'807.1	-67.15%
Total	9'160.5	8'159.3	-10.93%	3'027.2	-66.95%

ADDED BENEFITS BY SCENARIO AND SECTOR

Table 12.3: Added benefits by scenario and sector Sector	BAU to Reference	Added benefits CIS investment	Total SEBs	Total investment (in BAU)
	(million USD)	(million USD)	(million USD)	(million USD)
Roads	55.3	244.2	299.5	
Health Care	11.4	51.8	63.1	
Total agriculture	5.0	27.5	32.4	211.3
Livestock	0.6	2.5	3.1	
Agriculture production	4.4	25.0	29.3	
Capital	929.6	4'808.7	5'738.3	
Total	1'001.2	5'132.1	6'133.4	211.3

SOME VALUES OF SEBS ON CIS

Scenario	Total impacts (million USD)	Total SEBs (million USD)	Total investment (million USD)	Cost to benefit ratio
Reference (0% CIS coverage)				
Full climate impacts	9'160.55	-	-	-
BAU (30% CIS coverage)				
Impacts climate	8'159.32	1'001.23	208.31	4.81
CIS investment (100% coverage by 2035)				
CIS investment	3'027.19	6'133.36	845.14	7.26

SUMMARY

1	HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL DISASTERS COSTS ARE 5-14 OF GDP ACROSS THE GLOBE
2	INVESTMENTS IN CIS ARE LOW, < 0.1% OF GDP; CURRENTLY CIS IS BETWEEN 30 TO 60% OF IDEAL
3	SEBS ON CIS FOR DRR HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFULLY DEMONSTRATED THROUGH SYSTEM DYNAMICS MODELLING; CURRENTLY BCR 4-7 TIMES: THESE ARE EXTENSIBLE TO OTHER SECTORS
4	INVESTMENT IN GENERATING AND APPLYING CIS WILL GREATLY REDUCE DISASTER IMPACTS ON COMMUNITIES AND INCREASE GDP GROWTH
5	BENEIFTS COST RATIOS OF MUCH GREATER THAN 7-11 TIMES THE INVESTMENTS

WAY FORWARD

1	PROVISION OF NECESSARY RESOURCES TO ENSURE: • GENERATION OF OPTIMAL CIS • UPTAKE OF OPTIMAL CIS TO MAKE IT MORE EFFECTIVE AND MORE EFFICIENT TO BENEFIT COMMUNITIES BETTER
2	PROVISION OF EDUCATION AND TRAINING ON SEBs OF CIS TO NMHSs AND USER-COMMUNITY AT SUBREGIONAL AND NATIONAL LEVELS ACROSS SSA.
3	PILOT PROJECTS IN PARTNERSHIPS WITH RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS/UNIVERSITIES AND REGIONAL CLIMATE CENTRES IN ORDER TO REFINE THE SEBs ON CIS MODELS
4	FORMULATION OF POLICIES FOR INVESTMENT FOR THE GENERATION AND APPLICATION OF OPTIMUM CIS; AND ADVOCACY FOR APPROPRIATE INVESTMENTS IN CIS