

THIRTY-FIFTH SESSION OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL COMMITTEE OF SENIOR OFFICIALS AND EXPERTS FOR CENTRAL AFRICA (ICE)

Malabo, 23-27 September 2019

Evaluation Form

1. Objectives of the meeting

The meeting aimed to "consider ways and means to better mainstream the digital economy into the economic diversification strategies of the sub- region to accelerate its structural transformation, and to pool the efforts of all Central African countries in terms of digital technology, to set up an integrated digital ecosystem". Specific objectives of the session were:

- 1. To take stock of the digital economy in each country of the sub-region;
- 2. To raise awareness on opportunities to integrate the digital economy into economic diversification strategies of the sub-region;
- 3. To highlight the strengths of the sub-region's digital economy, including start-up innovations;
- 4. To identify opportunities and challenges for the development of a relevant and sustainable digital ecosystem at sub-regional level;
- 5. To come up with recommendations for strengthening the digital economy in the sub-region, taking into account identified opportunities and challenges;
- 6. To identify the main sources of funding for digital economy development programmes in the sub-region;
- 7. To pool donors to finance projects for the development of the digital economy in the sub-region.

1.a. To what extent do you consider the objectives to be attained?

		Very insufficient	Quite insufficient	Neutral	Quite Sufficient	Very sufficient	Not applicable
1.a.1.	To take stock of the digital economy in each country of the sub-region;						
1.a.2.	To raise awareness on opportunities to integrate the digital economy into economic diversification strategies of the sub-region;						
1.a.3.	To highlight the strengths of the sub- region's digital economy, including start-up innovations;						
1.a.4.	To identify opportunities and challenges for the development of a relevant and sustainable digital ecosystem at sub-regional level;						
1.a.5.	To come up with recommendations for strengthening the digital economy in the sub-region, taking into account identified opportunities and challenges;						
1.a.6.	To identify the main sources of funding for digital economy development programmes in the subregion;						
1.a.7.	To pool donors to finance projects for the development of the digital economy in the sub-region.						

	atisfaction with the meeting Could you please specify your r	main motivation	for participating	n the m	eeting?	•			
1.b.2.	On the basis of your main motiv	/ation for partici	pating, how satis	fied are	you wit	h the mee	eting?		
		Very insufficient	Quite insufficient	Neutra	al Qui	ite ficient	Very suffici	ient	Not applicable
Satisfa	action with the meeting.								
1.c. H	ow could the attainment of the c	bjectives be fac	cilitated in future	meetings	s?				
<u>1.e. H</u>	ow well did the meeting contribu	ute to SRO-CA's	s objective to sup	port eco	nomic	diversifica	ation an	d indus	trialization
in Cen	<u>stral Africa?</u>								
		Very Iow	Quite low	Neutra	al Qui		Very high		Not applicable
diversi	bution to economic ification and industrialization in al Africa						3		,,
1.e. H	ow can ECA help <i>you</i> improve t	he prospects fo	r industrialization	in Cent	ral Afri	<u>ca?</u>			
			4-4!4.4!						
	preciation of the working docu			e meetir	<u>ıg</u>				
<u>z.a. n</u>	ow would you evaluate the qual	ity of the workin	g documents?	1/2	0		0	1/2	N-4
				Very low	Quite low	Neutral	Quite high	Very high	not applicable
2.a.1.	ICE background study on "Dig								
	Economic Diversification in Ce and Opportunities"	entral Africa: Issi	ues, Challenges						
2.a.2.	Progress report on SRO-CA a	ctivities and 201	19 work plan						
2.a.3.	Annual progress report on reg programmes and other specia								

2.a.4. Annual report on sub-regional initiatives

of Central African Countries"

Computable General Equilibrium Model"

2.a.6. AHEGM background study on "Facilitating the Achievement of Sustainable Development Goals through Planning: The Case

2.a.7. AHEGM background study on "Impact of the Continental Free Trade Area on Central African Countries: Evaluation from a

2.a.5. STEPS Profile Central Africa

2.b. How would you evaluate the quality of the presentations?

				ery w	Quite low	Neutral	Quite high	Very high	Not applicable
2.b.1.	Presentation of the ICE background stu	udy							
2.b.2.	High-Level Dialogue and Demonstratio Economy	ns Hub on Dig	ital						
2.b.3.	Special events "The State of Structural Transformation in Central Africa: Challenges and Opportunities"								
2.b.4.	Presentation of the progress report on SRO-CA and 2019 work plan								
2.b.5.	Presentation of the annual progress reinternational programmes and other sp the sub-region								
2.b.6.	Presentation of the annual report on su	ıb-regional initi	atives						
2.b.7.	Roundtable and Demonstrations Hub of Central Africa: Experiences and Prospe	•	nomy in						
2.b.8.	Presentation of STEPS Profile Central	Africa							
2.b.9.	Presentation of the AHEGM background study on "Facilitating the Achievement of Sustainable Development Goals through Planning: The Case of Central African Countries"								
2.b.10.	Presentation of the AHEGM backgrour the Continental Free Trade Area on Ce Countries: Evaluation from a Computal Equilibrium Model"	pact of							
3. Dive		Feminine [f the meetin	 .g?					
		Very	Quite	Ne	eutral	Quite Sufficie	Ver		Not
	Gender diversity among the participants	insufficient	insufficien	T		<i>Sumiciei</i>	it sun	icient	applicable
	Representativeness of private sector, civil society and academia								
3.c. Ho	w could the diversity of the meeting be in	mproved?							

4. Appreciation of the progress of the meeting

4. Appreciation of the progress of the meet	ting								
			Very low	Quite low	Neutral	Quite high	Very high	Not applicable	
4.a. Quality of interaction between presenter	Quality of interaction between presenters and participants								
4.b. Knowledge and experience of the partici	4.b. Knowledge and experience of the participants on the topic								
4.c. Quality of the audio-visual equipment									
4.d. Quality of the conduct of work									
4.e. Media coverage of the meeting	Media coverage of the meeting								
	Von	Quite			Quite	Very	,	Not	
	Very insufficient	insuffici	ent l	Veutral	Sufficier		icient	applicable	
4.f. Number of treated subjects									
4.g. Time allocated for debate									
4.h. Level of representativeness of member states and institutions									
4.i. How could the progress of the meeting be	improved?								
5. Appreciation of the logistics of the meet	<u>ing</u>								
5.a. Appreciation of the global organization of	_		. \Box						
1. Mediocre 🗌 2. Passable 🔲 🤅	3. Neutral 🔲	4. G000	<i>y</i> 🗀	5. E	Excellent				
5.b. Duration of the meeting 1. Very insufficient ☐ 2. Quite insuf	ficient	leutral □	4. Qu	uite suffic	cient \square	5. Ven	/ suffici	ent \square	
5.c. Appreciation of certain aspects of the mee	_							Ш	
5.c.1. Delay of the invitation 1. Very insufficient									
			Very low	Quite low	Neutral	Quite high	Very high	Not applicable	
5.c.2. Welcome at the airport and transport to	the hotel							•	
5.c.3. Accommodation	Accommodation								
5.c.4. Registration procedure at the meeting	Registration procedure at the meeting								
5.c.5. Availability of transport during the mee	Availability of transport during the meeting								
5.c.6. Conference rooms	Conference rooms								
5.c.7. Coffee, lunch and other breaks									
5.d. How could the logistics of the meeting be improved?									

6.a. What features do you like best in the meeting? (Strongest points of the meeting) 6.b. What features do you like the least in the meeting? (Weak points of the meeting) 6.c. What changes would you recommend to improve the training and make it more effective?

6. Final remarks and suggestions

Thank you!